



Housing in the National Park

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	2
Name	
Organisation	British Horse Society
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes - village infill in sparsely populated villages such as Harwood Dale- the village needs more people
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No - Council houses should be encouraged
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	No - people get round it anyway
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Conversions to rent to local people should be encouraged rather than just holiday lets

Respondent Number	3
Name	Cllr Margaret Skilbeck Hambleton District Council
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Only in towns if at all
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes in sustainable villages with school and shop
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - as long as 'to live close to their work' covers anyone moving in from another area to work there.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes but only for A1, A2, A3 and B1 uses

Respondent Number	4
Name	
Organisation	Great and Little Broughton Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes, in sustainable village/ towns
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes, prioritised to buy affordable housing in sustainable villages (where there is shop, school etc)
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	6
Name	Martin Elliot
Organisation	North Yorkshire County Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Depending on housing needs assessments. Main settlements
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes. Main settlements
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. Worth identifying the characteristics of a sustainable rural community, as opposed to Government's current urban based definitions.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes. Diversification of the rural economy tends to outweigh the increases in trips. Buildings should only be used for housing if they are well related to local services/settlements

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

Some open market housing developments should be encouraged, particularly where they might have up to 50% affordable content with social housing mixed in. Forget the pepper potting - its antisocial - simply separate the two on any development in a polite and friendly way. On the southern side of the Park this should take place in the southern towns and larger villages such as Helmsley, Thornton le Dale and East and West Ayton.

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

Perhaps a fairly high proportion of new housing should be targeted towards those who most need help, but be aware that the building fraternity would probably start to rebel if that became more than 50%, as also might the landowners who have got used to seeing past sellers capitalise on unrestricted open market sales.

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

I do think there is a need for Local Occupancy Housing/ Affordable Housing/ Social Housing as well as Open Market Housing. The criteria applied seems sound and only a comprehensive National Study, I would suggest could improve it.

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

Whilst I think you have identified the most appropriate large villages, I feel it is a shame that you do not have some input into other Development Frameworks in surrounding Local Planning Authorities to enable you to influence their policies to your benefit. For instance, whilst Snainton has its traffic problems it has many good features as a service village and loads of room for expansion without changing the visible face of the village. Kirkbymoorside is another which I think could help contribute to the needs for more affordable and local occupancy housing.

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

I do think the Local Development Framework should favour the reuse of rural buildings for commercial/ community use except in those particular cases where it is clearly desirable, largely to do with location and need. Otherwise I consider rural buildings should not be limited in this way and clearly some of the more remote ones would be wholly inappropriate for such purposes. Common sense surely must dictate the answer, starting with an open mind approach

Respondent Number	8
Name	
Organisation	NYFRS
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Providing that this takes place against a balanced provision of affordable housing fire service has no opposition
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Fire service is keen on provision of affordable housing to communities from which retained fire-fighters are recruited
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes there is a role and agree with current criteria
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	No opposition providing appropriate fire safety measures are applied. Consideration should be given to provision of hard wired detection systems and sprinkler installations

Respondent Number	9
Name	Brian E Hill
Organisation	Derwent Anglers (Treasurer)
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes, within existing communities
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - existing criteria is too flexible
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes to all points
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	11
Name	P Pinkney
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes affordable housing close to towns as transport becomes an issue
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	This only works if it is close to town or in a town. Even if it is low cost housing, cars and petrol are not low cost. Even if it is affordable it still must be (simply) attractive
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	A) Yes as long as it is done in keeping with surroundings e.g. Crathorne, which works well. B) Not all, there must be some original farm buildings left! E.g. small stone stables/ sheds/ barns

Respondent Number	12
Name	Ruth Chambers
Organisation	Council for National Parks
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	CNP considers that there should be a presumption against open market housing in the National Park and that where any open market housing is given consent, it should be conditional on there being a specified proportion of affordable housing as part of the overall development
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	CNP considers that the policies of the LDF should try to prioritise the development of more affordable housing and that this should concentrate on larger settlements and villages.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	CNP agrees that larger settlements should continue to be treated differently to smaller villages in the LDF
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	

Respondent Number	13
Name	Mrs G M E Cordell
Organisation	Faceby Parish Meeting
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes some open market housing should be allowed where it can be accommodated successfully i.e. small number in villages (1 or 2) slightly larger number in larger settlements
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No all new housing should not be targeted towards people who cannot enter the open housing market. As an ageing population, sheltered housing in communities is needed, so older people can stay where they have lived for a long time and brought up their families etc
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	There is a role of local occupancy housing in the National Park. The current eligibility criteria are adequate but need rigorously enforcing to prevent 'cheats' profiting from the desirability of living in the Park.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes, Yes and No
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes the LDF should favour re-use of rural buildings for commercial or community uses provided quality of life of neighbours is not compromised. Yes, existing buildings could help provide housing for local needs.

Respondent Number 14

Name Sam Kipling

Organisation Environment Agency

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

The Agency would prefer development to take place in previously used buildings and on previously used land. The environmental impacts of such developments tend to be less significant than when developments are 'started from scratch'. The Agency would expect developments such as conversions to include modernisation incorporating sustainable building principles.

Respondent Number	15
Name	
Organisation	Scalby Village Trust
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Very small developments for locals only who are engaged in local industry.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	All applications should be judged on merit by committee
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number	16
Name	
Organisation	Aislaby Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes - as in the current local plan
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No - need a balanced community. There should be some low cost housing, but restricted to local people.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. Yes - as long as the criteria are open to fairly wide interpretation.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes - they are different, so should be treated differently.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes (to both) - old buildings blend in better, and its better than letting them fall into ruin.

Respondent Number

17

Name

Alastair McIntyre

Organisation

GOYH

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

Larger settlements should be treated differently for housing purposes on the basis that is where most services, employment, public transport etc will be. There could also be scope for the National Park Authority, Ryedale DC, Hambleton DC, Scarborough BC and Redcar & Cleveland Council to agree a joint housing strategy for the settlements on the periphery of the Park. Outside the Park settlements such as Stokesley, Great Ayton, Guisborough, Whitby, Scarborough, West and East Ayton, Pickering, Kirkbymoorside and Helmsley (partly outside) all provide housing close to the Park.

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

A balance needs to be struck between meeting local housing needs and also encouraging the re-use of buildings for economic purposes. PPS7 states a preference for economic re-use but does acknowledge that residential conversion may be more appropriate in some locations (PPS7 para 17 refers). It would therefore be better for the LDF to establish criteria based policies to indicate where economic re-use would be preferred or where residential conversion would be acceptable.

Respondent Number	18
Name	
Organisation	Pickering Town Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No not until we can meet the needs of local people. There is a case for housing for key workers who come into the area but can't find accommodation
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes wherever possible re-use should be favoured subject to a thorough going justification

Respondent Number	19
Name	
Organisation	Loftus Town Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes Yes No suggestions for location
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes there should be a role for local occupancy. Yes, qualifying criteria appropriate. Additional criteria suggested to maintain agriculturally restricted accommodation
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes, treatment should continue to be different but priority should be given to 'infill' housing rather than encroaching on the boundaries even of larger settlements
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes, if rural buildings are no longer in use, the re-use for commercial/community use should be favoured. Yes, some existing buildings could help provide housing

Respondent Number	20
Name	John Ryder
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Not at present
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes, in areas where there is a proven need not just a perceived need
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - but very difficult to come up with criteria that is foolproof. The present criteria does not work. The houses that people move from are then often sold for second homes or holiday homes!
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number	21
Name	I N Sagers
Organisation	Helmsley Estate
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes, especially at key centres
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No - a mixture must be the way forward for people to buy on the open market or to rent if they cannot afford it
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	No. It is difficult to 'rig the market' in houses for sale but preference could be given to 'locals' for rented accommodation
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes this seems sensible
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Rural buildings should be used for both purposes - commercial and housing

Respondent Number	22
Name	
Organisation	West Ayton Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Infill in established settlements
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	More rural villages where young people wish to work in traditional industries
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Village appraisal in West Ayton was very much against open market development, this causes loss of village identity. 71% saw no need for any more houses. Individual dwellings in filling less controversial/disturbing
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes 42% of residents responding to Local Plan favour conversion of redundant buildings

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number 25

Name John Farquhar

Organisation CPRE and North York Moors Association

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

Questions 4 to 8 are closely linked. Our response is that open market development should be strictly limited, should be confined to the larger settlements on the fringe of the Park, and that the numbers should be co-ordinated with the plans of the peripheral local authorities. (The government's recent consultation on revisions to PPG3 (Planning for housing provision) indicates that the Park and its immediate environs might constitute a 'housing market' in which special conditions are applied)
Elsewhere there should be a 'mix' of 'local occupancy housing' (for which the current criteria seem adequate) and 'affordable housing'. The need for the latter is so great that it should be given high priority - a 2 'affordable' to 1 'local occupancy' ratio should be aimed at. The groups most in need are young couples with one or no children, and the elderly, and a mixed development with a measure of support (childcare, assistance with transport to services etc) is desirable.
Accessibility to services and public transport should influence location, which suggest that the policy of directing development towards the 'larger' settlements should be retained. Finally the use to which redundant rural buildings are put should be best decided on a case-by-case basis - within the park residential use (for local occupancy would not increase car use significantly; tourist accommodation would seem to be approaching some 'capacity' limit; and it is not easy to see what 'employment-generating' activity would wish to locate in isolated and inaccessible locations, though it should always be favoured. The important thing is to maintain buildings in good condition with the minimum of external change.

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones - should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	26
Name	Ken Hale
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Not until affordable housing needs have been met
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	a Yes b Yes c where the need is greatest
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	a No b Not able to comment
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	a Yes with the proviso that in any given location the use must be appropriate in all ways e.g. the amount of traffic generated might be unacceptable b Yes if location is right and conversion costs allow it for Affordable Housing Stock

Respondent Number	27
Name	
Organisation	Mickleby Group Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Some but not holiday homes
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes within area of employment including villages
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Local residency income criteria
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes Local jobs good rents controlled

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number 30

Name

Organisation Osmotherley Parish Council

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

There is no open market housing in the Park at present. The planning system conflicts with the normal rules of commercial development. This results in profits going to those who dare flout planning advice the most and can force through developments with expensive professional barristers. Profit is therefore made at the expense of the National Park's environment, roads, views etc. A better system needs to be imposed. Where any development is even considered there should be "planning gain" e.g. car parking spaces donated to the village.

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

It is understood that early experiments at "Affordable housing" housing in the Park have not been successful. That route will not work.

In Osmotherley, a survey would show that there many starter homes (two up two down) and more than enough expensive imposing properties, but inadequate numbers of medium sized houses with family bedrooms and gardens. Families are needed to keep schools etc viable.

Planners should study approaches such as in Jersey that have a much longer track record.

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

Yes, local occupancy and essential workers should take over the housing market in place of the current highest price system. Essential workers should be defined in accordance with the two Purposes of the National Parks. There also needs to be consideration of children who should be encouraged into the area if they go to the local school.

The current qualifying criteria could be tightened. Someone who spend just 3 years in the area many years ago should not qualify, although someone who was bought up in the area should be able to return.

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

The current system needs a major review and Osmotherley should be reclassified. In Osmotherley the system of building on open spaces between houses that were previously used for off street parking has created problems with cars and lost views. There is particular concern that even in the Conservation Area, imposing houses can be built with no thought to other planning clauses once "infill" criteria is established.

The most recent case on Grant Close now means that "backfill" is now classified as "infill" if a barn at the front is converted into a garage. There are many such potential development sites now.

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

In the Dales, there is a dedicated "Barns and Walls" officer. In this Park the neglect of the Victorian vernacular barns defies Purpose 1- Conservation. The current Local Plan suggests that they could be converted to houses and allows them to be demolished or fall down, even in a Conservation Area or within the curtilage of a Listed Building. They are a major feature but are ignored by Planners, especially if small.

Reuse of rural buildings for commercial/community uses is better than a complete change in use. They should definitely not be used for an insatiable housing need. Osmotherley has many fine barns, and 80% will fall down in the next decade through neglect and will disappear or be totally rebuilt as yet more small dwellings without car parking. This is not conservation.

Respondent Number	31
Name	Eric & Margaret Kirby
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes Existing small villages. Reuse of brownfield sites and sites with none traditional buildings that could be brought back into use with all services. Open areas within villages that had had previous planning that had lapsed and now thought to be only suitable for affordable housing criteria managed by Housing Associations.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No Land owners would willingly give land for local housing if 50% can be for open market housing.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	All age groups - there should be no discrimination. We understand that it is targeted at the present to young families getting priority.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	More added to the list for development.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes and development that has in the past been categorised as abandoned, and buildings that have no authorised use but have all services on. None traditional buildings should also be included. As many traditional building are normally demolished anyway.

Respondent Number	32
Name	
Organisation	Newby and Scalby Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes it encourages investment.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes in local communities.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. No policy change needed if strictly applied
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes. No change needed
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Existing buildings should provide for local needs.

Respondent Number	33
Name	Heather Pettiford (Parish Councillor)
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes - Within the Park if there are suitable sites for a few. On the fringes of larger settlements/ market towns for greater numbers. Areas with adequate public transport and other infrastructure to support the majority.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes Yes None
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes Yes
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Commercial use - how would this impact on the area? Community use - providing it could be sustained. Housing - yes if for local needs but not if for 2nd / holiday homes

Respondent Number	34
Name	Robert Swales
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Some. Mostly the more expensive house - certainly environmental friendly housing
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No. There is a minimum no of 'affordable' housing required. It is not desirable to create little estates of low income earners in an area of low pay and a requirement to travel (a major cost on the individual)
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. What is the qualifying criteria?
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Why interfere?
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes - yes

Respondent Number	35
Name	T Johnson
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes - but only in locations where there is a mix of Affordable / Local Occupancy Housing
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes - in sustainable communities
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes, Yes. Housing with local occupancy criteria should ensure details are with deeds / mortgages
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes, Yes

Respondent Number	36
Name	Alan Gillham
Organisation	Scarborough Borough Council (Officer Comments)
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	In larger settlements only. Market housing can only be justified where it is needed to stimulate affordable housing development
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes the priority / target must be towards those who cannot enter the market. As said above this priority would not preclude all market housing but this should be seen as the exception
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	The local occupancy condition could be used for the 'market element' of housing developments rather than affordability as is the case now. This would also allow the definition to be broadened to include different kinds of 'key workers'
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	West Ayton has been subject to a certain amount of in depth development need to carefully consider if this should continue and if so what is its purpose
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes to both

Respondent Number	37
Name	J and G Fox
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No, no, no this leads to second home owners
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	As above. New housing to be near to facilities for local young people
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes to local occupancy. Restriction for local workers to buy only as in Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes No Remove large settlements and spread smaller settlements around small towns
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes Yes

Respondent Number 38

Name Lucy Mitchell

Organisation Yorkshire Forward

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

The Local Development Framework should promote housing policies which help to create mixed and sustainable communities in line with the emerging RSS for Yorkshire and the Humber. Open market housing development should be considered where the housing element forms a key part of an innovative mixed use scheme that would bring employment and service provision and therefore be considered an asset to the National Park.

Any additional housing development should seek to cater primarily for local need, provide a mix of housing type, tenure, size and price and be focused in more 'sustainable' locations (those with shops, public transport services, schools etc.). By guiding the location of housing development, the need to travel and the need to provide additional facilities/services can be significantly reduced and more sustainable communities created by focusing development in sustainable locations

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

The National Park Authority should pursue policies that prioritise the provision of affordable housing that meets local need, especially in the light of recently increasing house prices. However, it may be unrealistic to attempt to ensure that all new housing development is either affordable or meets local needs. Again, the provision of affordable housing should be focused in sustainable locations where residents can benefit from access to local employment opportunities, transport services and other local services and facilities, including shops and schools.

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

We feel that there is still a need for policies for the larger settlements to address the needs of those that cannot enter the housing market. The larger settlements can often provide more sustainable locations for local people struggling to enter the housing market who perhaps work locally within the National Park. Larger settlements often offer an improved level of service and facility provision and increased employment opportunities for local people. The role of these larger settlements should be considered within the context of the wider sub region. Additionally, Rural Market towns should be acknowledged for their role as larger settlements particularly in terms of employment opportunities and the availability of other services and facilities.

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Yorkshire Forward feel that the re-use of rural buildings for commercial and community uses is a policy worth pursuing. However, it is important that the location, accessibility and potential viability of these buildings for such uses is adequately considered in policy formulation and particularly in allocation. On this basis, we would support the re-use of rural buildings for the provision of housing for local needs where other uses are not considered appropriate.

Respondent Number	39
Name	Mrs H M Swales
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	On the outskirts of towns where there are jobs. There are few full time jobs in villages.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Slapping so called affordable housing in villages like Goathland will put low paid part time workers into the poverty trap. There are 15 houses for sale today here of all types (27th July 05)
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Occasionally an infill home should be allowed to house close family where businesses would benefit
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Add only villages where full time work is evident
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes for close family members who have full time work or elderly relatives who wish to continue living close to family

Respondent Number	40
Name	S H Rowe
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Not Hinderwell Parish
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes Yes
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes, occupancy must depend on future circumstances
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Use rural buildings in or near villages maybe holiday cottages in outlying places

Respondent Number	41
Name	Josie Downs
Organisation	York and Ryedale Friends of the Earth
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Not much more, if any.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Most, if not all.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes, there is a role for local occupancy housing.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Depends whether this alters character or creates a demand for more car miles. If not, existing buildings could be used for local housing need.

Respondent Number	42
Name	Mrs Margaret Farey (Parish Councillor)
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Should be very limited and be in the larger settlements
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes, there are enough houses that can be traded on the open market. Affordable housing should be where it is needed, if this is possible
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Emphasis on people who already work in the area, with relevant skills etc. Local families - dependency etc
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Not sure
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes, to all, but only if a local need has been identified

Respondent Number	43
Name	W N Baldwin
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes, but with restrictions applied to larger development. Should be anywhere that the local people support it!
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No, because this will tend to discourage development and skew the community make up. New immigrant will be shut out.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. Rented accommodation is what is missing even since the right to buy was introduced
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes but not to the detriment of taking every investment from the villages
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number	44
Name	Colin Furness
Organisation	Oldstead Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes at appropriate larger settlements
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No not entirely
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes, Yes, none
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes, yes
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Very definitely due to the decline in farming, there will be a large decline in the number of farms

Respondent Number	45
Name	M Muir
Organisation	Blackface Sheep Breeders Association
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes, priority should be given to starter homes both rental and if possible owner/ occupier
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes rental or purchased
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes too many local people are being driven out by high house prices
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	47
Name	
Organisation	Newholm cum Dunsley Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Not in the National Park
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Should be treated differently
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Local needs

Respondent Number	48
Name	F Wilkinson
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Plenty of housing comes available when someone dies or families move to a different area because of their work. These houses come on to the open market but are not affordable by young families working in the area
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	If a new small business is started or an existing one developed housing should be allowed for the owner for security and administration of that business and its workers
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Should be on a points system for local people who have shown an interest and helped in their community.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Larger settlements should concentrate on using brownfield sites but still leave some open areas for children to play, they should not become town terraced streets - not all infill should be filled in.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Definitely, in the past re-use of rural buildings has only been recommended as suitable for holiday cottages. Reasonable sized buildings to accommodate 2 bedrooms can become attractive homes.

Respondent Number	49
Name	
Organisation	Sneaton Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes, definitely, wherever possible
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No! Not to develop the affordable housing in its present form, but as to our suggestion
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	No. The criteria should not be prejudiced on where people should or should not live. We need to make accommodation for both
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Larger settlements should be judged on there own merits
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes to both

Respondent Number	50
Name	D D Barber
Organisation	Cropton and Cawthorne Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes - but in the larger peripheral communities
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes but linked to employment
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes but linked to employment
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes - re use for small scale commercial / housing - existing buildings wherever possible - empty houses should be brought back into use

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	52
Name	A R Thomson
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes. Wherever it is needed. This is necessary if we are to avoid both the financial and environmental penalties of individual vehicle travel to a place of work.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - don't know what are the criteria
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes.. Of course, but for local needs only

Respondent Number	53
Name	A J Rutter
Organisation	Hutton le Hole Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	A single new house or two in a village wouldn't cause any harm if built to blend in with other housing, but not to overpower them.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Affordable housing should be sited near peoples area of work. Situation generally in towns if large number being built.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	The initial criteria are ok, but control of later occupancies is the problem.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Current plan ok
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes but probably not many left now, see also question 3 above.

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

There has been considerable press coverage and no doubt internal and committee discussion regarding the current situation within the National Park boundary relating to affordable housing. Although Pickering itself is outside the National Park, we are sure that we are not alone in considering ourselves part of it. Housing especially for the younger generation, is always going to be a problem and any initiatives that are introduced should be applauded, however we feel that there has to be a degree of flexibility when deciding on who qualifies, especially in areas such as towns and villages where the 'state line' divides such communities.

A number of years ago we were involved in a planning application for low cost housing at East Ayton at Seavegate Farm. The development was intended at the time to benefit the younger generation in that area. It is disappointing to see that this development, now in the hands of a housing association, has become what many local residents regard as a slum, being occupied by a mixture of single mothers, drug addicts and imports from the 'seedy' areas of Scarborough. I trust that such a situation is not allowed to evolve with future developments of a similar nature within National Park communities. Please try to address this situation when producing the Local Development Framework.

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	55
Name	Jean Oxley
Organisation	East Ayton Parish Council (Views from individual)
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Not necessarily. You might want to build a small complex of retirement bungalows. However it is important to keep the local young parents and children within the Park if possible. Their wages can't compete with 'in comers'.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	If this means rented accommodation, it is vital to ensure that only people qualified by birth or long term residency within the park should live in the rented property. In East Ayton we have drug problems from outside partners of residents in social housing
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	All areas of the National park should be treated equally in need of protection of conservation regardless of heir size.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Good quality rural buildings such as barns, convert very well and unobtrusively to housing units. There could be used as affordable homes for people raised within the National Park. Not for strangers though

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	57
Name	Mr Swales
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Existing houses and small no of new
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	I don't know. Each individual case. We don't want large villages only sustainable ones.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	No. Only when they are in the right location

Respondent Number	58
Name	
Organisation	Newton and Rawcliffe and Stape Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Infill and edges of villages. Limits in rural areas
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Yes for a 5 year test period
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - criteria - work/ / lives in community
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes more sites for development
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes particularly housing needs

Respondent Number	59
Name	
Organisation	Fylingdales Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	In this parish (Fylingdales) there is no need for new open market housing, over 15 variably priced houses currently for sale, not moving, and over 600 holiday homes. No identifiable need.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Targeted towards which people? Within the Parish already or generally available? Houses built in Goathland not taken up by locals. We have identified six sites for affordable housing on land owned by Scarborough Borough Council but without jobs this seems pointless.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Houses sold as a result of locals occupying/ buying new build have no restraints and can be sold on openly. This destroys the criteria - with no control.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	We cannot answer this on behalf of others concerned. i.e. larger settlements
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes - need conversion in many cases Yes - where needs identified

Respondent Number	60
Name	
Organisation	Egton Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Open market development is important to the local economy with local workmen engaged in the work. We would prefer to see some element of open development maintained.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	A form towards people unable to enter the housing market would be productive in maintaining the vibrancy and life of villages with ageing populations. However, we do not see this as an exclusive regiment - emphasise yes - ruling out 'open development' no.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	There is a role for this. However, monitoring of the provision is poor - particularly after initial occupancy. The criteria used are appropriate - we have no suggested additions.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones - should any be removed from/added to the list?	We believe the local plan is right in this regard. No suggestion for larger settlement addition.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	A flexible approach is required. Sometimes a little more imagination is required in the adjudication.. We need to be as dynamic as possible.

Respondent Number	61
Name	
Organisation	Lockton Parish Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Small development using redundant farm buildings
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	There has to be both open market and local need affordable housing
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	There has to be local occupancy housing so young families can afford to remain within the community, especially in the remoter villages
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Larger settlements have different needs to remote villages and should have different priorities
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Rural buildings should be reused to preserve them. Often in villages reuse as housing is the only option. Each development should be individually accessed to ensure that it is of a size and in keeping with the surrounding properties

Respondent Number 62

Name

Organisation Rosedale Parish Council

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

There is no possibility of the demand for housing in the National Park being met through any acceptable level of new construction, and so there is no logic for seeking to provide any more housing as a general objective. But the inevitable changes in the farming industry are likely to lead to more traditional vernacular building becoming redundant. The preservation of these buildings will require alternative uses to be found and in many cases conversion to new houses will be the best use.

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

Where development takes place, policies should encourage smaller and hence lower-cost housing units (e.g. one-bedroom apartments rather than four-bedroom detached houses).

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

The shortage of younger people probably arises as much from shortages of accessible jobs as from the cost of housing. Encouraging occupancy by local people might well be counter-productive in that young people with the ability to live in the Park may be prevented whilst local young people might not be able to live there because there is no work.

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

There is limited potential for re-use of redundant rural buildings for commercial use but greater potential for housing use. Current policies discourage housing use unless it can be shown that there is no potential commercial use, and force holiday use rather than permanent occupation. These policies are counter-productive and should be reversed.

Respondent Number	63
Name	
Organisation	North Yorkshire East Federation of WIS
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes some in existing villages and in the larger settlements on the periphery.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No, not all but the majority. More affordable housing within existing villages
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes most definitely. The qualifying criteria are appropriate but would want to change the 3 years stipulated to 5.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes, they should be treated differently. The ones identified are the correct ones.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes, most definitely existing buildings could help provide housing for local needs. Know of several farmers who wished to do this for their sons who would take over the running of the farm and they have been refused planning permission

Respondent Number	64
Name	Colin Huby
Organisation	Scarborough and Ryedale Rural Housing Enabler
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Only in larger settlements, and to include an element of affordable housing (thresholds set)
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	1. Yes affordable housing should have priority but some open market housing could still be permitted in market towns.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	1. Local occupancy should be applied to all housing in the Park. 2. Affordable housing should have 'local connection criteria' (106) that includes a cascade system, naming other parishes (discussed with PC)
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	All larger settlements should be looked at in relation to the area they serve. All have distinct relationships with smaller settlements around them. Smaller settlements should not be seen as 'feeder' villages and should be allowed to develop themselves as long as a need is shown.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Converting existing buildings should be considered alongside new build when considering affordable housing. Both need to be looked at as costs will determine which one stacks up the best. More grant subsidy could be applied to encourage conversions to renovate empty/derelict properties

Respondent Number	65
Name	Colin Mather
Organisation	Member of Upper Esk Community Energy Group
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No open market housing development should be permitted. The Yorkshire Dales National Park Policy is a good model to adopt.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Priority should be given to affordable housing in settlements that have basic facilities of shop, post office, pub and where possible school
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Local Occupancy rules are appropriate and satisfactory as written
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes. These are the correct larger settlements
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Re-use of rural buildings for commercial / community uses should be encouraged however this should not prevent these buildings being used to provide housing for local needs.

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

Ryedale District Council Supports housing provision in the National Park that concentrates on meeting local needs and restricting open market housing. In particular, as Housing Authority for that area of the Park falling within Ryedale, the council is keen that the Park Authority establishes policy mechanisms to increase the supply of affordable housing within the Park. To supplement an exceptions policy we would welcome any policy aimed at providing a proportion of affordable housing as developer contributions.

On a more specific note we are keen to ensure a planned and joined up approach to providing for the development needs of Helmsley. Opportunities to develop in that part of town falling within our area are restricted. We are of the view that as an important Market Town serving a wide rural hinterland it is appropriate that the town should accommodate limited growth to assist in delivering necessary affordable housing. On this basis we would ask the Park Authority to consider in principle, the allocation of a limited amount of land within the National Park for future housing development.

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	68
Name	Amy Trevelyan
Organisation	Councillor for the Dales Ward
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	No
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Low impact, affordable, local needs housing with associated workplace, only. Anywhere considered, but transport plans to be included.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	As above
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Larger settlements may have community needs that need to be catered for but strict low-impact, affordable and local connections criteria should still be maintained
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	If the commercial/ community use leads to less traffic movements in general, it should be considered. Some local, affordable, low impact housing needs could also be considered.

Respondent Number	69
Name	Mr and Mrs Richards
Organisation	
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	Yes but centred within the larger settlements for the reasons given in paragraph 3.7
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	No - but policies should prioritise the development of more affordable housing - endorse example given in paragraph 3.8 '...for everyone on the open market house built one affordable house would be built. Would suggest their location in larger settlements see 4. Major priority should consider sheltered housing for ages 60 and over. Given demographic considerations, such housing could be in any location which is sustainable.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes - criteria seem appropriate as cited in paragraph 3.5
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones - should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes and the settlements identified appear correct as cited in paragraph 3.7
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes to both

Respondent Number

Name

Organisation

4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?

5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?

6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?

7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?

8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?

Respondent Number	71
Name	Brian Oram
Organisation	Association of Countryside Voluntary Wardens
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	There should be limited open market housing development in the Park but where possible this should be linked to affordable housing building. This should preferably be in the larger settlements, however, there could be limited building in smaller settlements subject to local amenities being able to support such developments.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	This would be ideal in principle but consideration must be given to the future prosperity of the Park and whether the economic stability of the Park can be maintained without "outside" housing income. If it can then the same considerations listed in 4 would apply.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	Yes. The existing criteria would seem to be adequate.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	Yes. Add Osmotherley.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	Yes to both. Sympathetic conversions for housing could make a major contribution to housing needs. However, availability of local amenities must also be a consideration.

Respondent Number	72
Name	Trisha Brady
Organisation	Tees Valley Rural Community Council
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	TVRCC agrees that the current level of open market housing development is generally appropriate, although we would like to see some planned opportunities for well designed energy conservation housing developments, especially for self builders.
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	Currently most new housing development should be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market as we believe that this is where the need (rather than the demand) is. Both young and old local people, and people with special needs, may have a need to stay close to their communities, and also may not have the financial ability to compete in the open market. Affordable housing may need to be in both smaller and larger villages.
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	See answer to question 5.
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	TVRCC agrees that the noted larger settlements may have more opportunity for organic growth, although we are concerned that the attractiveness of small towns and larger villages may be compromised if too much infill is allowed on orchards, large gardens and other green spaces.
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	<p>TVRCC is in favour of existing buildings being used for commercial purposes, as we believe that there is a shortage of start up units for small enterprises, although there is also a need for new, sensitively built, larger move-on units as organisations grow. The need for such buildings is likely to increase as new technology allows small businesses and social/community enterprises to thrive in rural areas.</p> <p>As in urban areas, redundant commercial and administrative buildings can be adapted for flats for the increasing number of single person households.</p>

Respondent Number	73
Name	Stewart Hurst
Organisation	NYCC - Highways
4. Should some open market housing development continue to take place in the National Park? If so, where?	
5. Should all new housing developed in the National Park be targeted towards people who cannot enter the 'open' housing market? Should the policies of the Local Development Framework try to prioritise the development of more 'affordable' housing? If so, where?	
6. Do you think there is a role for local occupancy housing in the National Park? Do you think the qualifying criteria that are applied to the current 'local occupancy' housing are appropriate? What changes/additions to the criteria would you suggest?	
7. In the case of the 'larger' settlements identified in the current local plan, should the Local Development Framework continue to treat them differently to smaller villages? Are the larger settlements identified the correct ones – should any be removed from/added to the list?	
8. Should the Local Development Framework favour the re-use of rural buildings for commercial/community uses? Do you think that existing buildings could help to provide housing for local needs?	

