



## Other Issues

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	1
<b>Name</b>	Ian Smith
<b>Organisation</b>	English Heritage
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	As you will be aware, English Heritage was reasonably satisfied with the Adopted Plan's Policies for the historic environment which we felt provided an appropriate framework for the protection and enhancement of the historic assets of the Park. We consider that, since there have been no material changes to either the legislation or to national policy guidance relating to the historic environment since the Plan was adopted, that this policy framework is still appropriate.

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	2
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	British Horse Society
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Degradation of rights of way that lead to isolated properties which are now being done up into desirable residential properties. There should be a stipulation that access tracks can only be tarmaced/ converted if an alternative public path is provided

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	3
<b>Name</b>	Cllr Margaret Skilbeck Hambleton District Council
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Using Parish Plans as Supplementary Planning Guidance

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	4
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Great and Little Broughton Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	5
<b>Name</b>	Geoff Henman
<b>Organisation</b>	Campaign for real ale (CAMRA) (York Branch)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	6
<b>Name</b>	Martin Elliot
<b>Organisation</b>	North Yorkshire County Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	7
<b>Name</b>	Paul W Beanland
<b>Organisation</b>	Paul Beanland Associates
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	8
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	NYFRS
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	New building should have hard wired detector system and sprinkler systems. Buildings which are 15 minutes travel distance from the nearest fire station should have other risk reduction measures applied.

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	9
<b>Name</b>	Brian E Hill
<b>Organisation</b>	Derwent Anglers (Treasurer)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	10
<b>Name</b>	Mr J K Harrison
<b>Organisation</b>	The Cleveland Industrial Archaeology Society
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	11
<b>Name</b>	P Pinkney
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	12
<b>Name</b>	Ruth Chambers
<b>Organisation</b>	Council for National Parks
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	13
<b>Name</b>	Mrs G M E Cordell
<b>Organisation</b>	Faceby Parish Meeting
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	14
<b>Name</b>	Sam Kipling
<b>Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	15
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Scalby Village Trust
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	I think the National Park officers and committee have so far done a magnificent job despite outside pressures. Keep up the good work!!

<b>Respondent Number</b>	16
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Aislaby Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	More financial aid for villages to maintain their areas - village caretakers etc. More involvement of Parish Councils in planning issues, and more personal contact with planning officers.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	17
<b>Name</b>	Alastair McIntyre
<b>Organisation</b>	GOYH
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	The housing questions do not address the issue of planning for Gypsy and Traveller sites, with reference to PPG3 replacement paragraph 18 and ODPM consultation on Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Sites December 2004.  Two areas that it would be useful for the LDF to address could include: · Coastal management and development · Flood risk management (there has been a stark reminder for this need following recent severe storm and flood damage in some western parts of the Park).

<b>Respondent Number</b>	18
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Pickering Town Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	19
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Loftus Town Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	LDF appears comprehensive

<b>Respondent Number</b>	20
<b>Name</b>	John Ryder
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Consistency in planning decisions in relation to any framework that is decided upon. This is totally lacking at present. The NYM National Plan is complied with exactly when it is convenient, but are 'only guidelines' or 'this policy is not set in stone' when it is not convenient.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	21
<b>Name</b>	I N Saggars
<b>Organisation</b>	Helmsley Estate
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	22
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	West Ayton Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Re: Planning decisions</p> <p>Several times recently we have come up against problems resulting from non enforcement of planning decisions e.g. width of pavements, depth of grass verges not controlled resulting in poor access, congestion, dangerous traffic in lane along side properties.</p> <p>Also problems with gap in delineation of responsibilities</p> <p>One proposed terrace of 4 houses presented road safety problems i.e. opened directly onto main A170 NYM said they speak to Highways dept NYCC. Highways said measures to remedy problem should have been built into planning. Result? Still a safety hazard</p> <p>Where the NYM makes conditions it should ensure these are observed. Shows its teeth occasionally!</p> <p>If policy is stated it should happen. In our village we don't feel that our village is 'preserved and enhanced' by NYM decisions</p>



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	23
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	PLACE
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Roadside verge conservation

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	24
<b>Name</b>	Jayne Whitaker
<b>Organisation</b>	Sport England
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	25
<b>Name</b>	John Farquhar
<b>Organisation</b>	CPRE and North York Moors Association
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>1. The Park's LDF should be developed in close collaboration with the parallel process in neighbouring Local Planning Authorities. This is particularly important for the fringes of the Park: the western scarp from Osmotherley down to Coxwold deserves a measure of protection; the southern 'Fringe of the Moors' is designated as an Area of High Landscape Value by Ryedale (and perhaps Scarborough?). In addition to these landscape issues policies on tourism, housing, biodiversity etc should be compatible. We would like to be told what organisational arrangements are in place. We feel that the reference in para 4.9 of the Local Development Scheme to Joint Development Plan Documents is inadequate and would like to see a much stronger commitment to joint working.</p> <p>2. In the new planning system policies need not be restricted to things which can be addressed via the development control system. The Park LDF should therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) be compatible with the plans of Forest Enterprise in respect of outdoor recreation, biodiversity etc.</li> <li>b) its forestry policy (covering new planting and the management of existing woodlands) should be developed in collaboration with the Forestry Authority and conform to the recently-issued Regional Forest Policy</li> <li>c) There should be close collaboration with the Environment Agency in respect of the Esk and Derwent / Rye catchments, and with the new rural agency Natural England with regard to the new environmental stewardship schemes, and biodiversity.</li> <li>d) Climate Change should be a major driver of policies: measures to reduce run-off and increase peat formation on the high moorland; and measures to meet the likely increase in fire risk, as well as energy saving and development of renewable sources of energy, should figure prominently. Much of this would find a place in the Management Plan but there should be tight links between the two by way of cross-referencing.</li> </ul> <p>3. The Government has recently issued guidance to planners on climate change in which it states that '...there is a real urgency to put in place regional and local policies on adaptation to climate change...'. Among the issues mentioned are 'Policies on rural land uses, flood wash lands, wildlife corridors, buffering capacity and water storage. Raise awareness of potential change to the landscape'</p> <p>Heather moorland is an ecosystem 'at risk' from climate change – especially increased frequency of hot dry summers; risk of peat drying out and blowing and oxidising; increased fire hazard; threat to some species at the southern edge of their ranges. Park policies should encourage measures to retain moisture 'at source' by impeding run-off, increasing wetland etc. and there should be Park-wide fire prevention policies.</p> <p>Linked to this are the changes in farm support which are likely to bring about considerable (and relatively rapid) changes to the economy of the Park and its farmed landscapes.</p> <p>We therefore suggest that response to climate change, the reform of the CAP, and the introduction of new environmental stewardship schemes should be the leading ' topics from which other policies derive their force. We believe that the broader scope of the new-style plans will facilitate this approach.</p>



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	26
<b>Name</b>	Ken Hale
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	There are many spate rivers and streams in the park. Recent disastrous deluge in the vicinity of Helmsley - Hawnby indicate climate change? Consideration by Environment Agency and NYMNP as to how to prepare most at risk dales from repeat downpours e.g. many small check dams, permanent tree/shrub/grass cover on hillsides, close moorland grips

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	27
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Mickleby Group Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Change over in staff is difficult

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	28
<b>Name</b>	K Delaney
<b>Organisation</b>	RAC Foundation
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	29
<b>Name</b>	Chris Marshall
<b>Organisation</b>	Countryside Agency
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	We recommend the document 'Environmental Quality in Spatial Planning' is referred to in developing the core policies and local development documents.



<b>Respondent Number</b>	30
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Osmotherley Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Letters from the NP on planning issues should be dated and not 'As stamped'.</p> <p>These issues in the questionnaire are pertinent and very well presented. All main items appear to be present. It is a real step forward to see the items discussed and to be consulted on such issues.</p> <p>When considering involvement of the community however there is concern that many residents and farmers in the Park forget Purpose 2 of the Park and would be happy to see only enough tourists to keep the pubs in business.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	31
<b>Name</b>	Eric & Margaret Kirby
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Thank you for the opportunity of letting us participate in this consultation. We recently attended a NP Planning meeting and now realise how difficult it is to interpret the National and Local Planning Policy, both from a Planners perspective and the Councillors, and we noticed how applicants individual circumstances were taken into consideration and then to reach a fair and satisfactory conclusion for all, cant be easy. (Applicants can now put their point of view over to the committee at a planning meeting which is new to us, this is a great idea. It also enables applicants to see how a particular decision was reached.) We welcome new thoughts on how to keep the NYM alive and beautiful and ideas sought, which I think you are addressing at present.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	32
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Newby and Scalby Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	A real effort to stimulate an economy that will maintain the farmed landscape.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	33
<b>Name</b>	Heather Pettiford (Parish Councillor)
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	34
<b>Name</b>	Robert Swales
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	The increase in the size of the National Park Authority. It has become too big. A branch of local government which arguably we the citizen could do without.

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	35
<b>Name</b>	T Johnson
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Housing - we should be following the Dales example for a start conversion of existing buildings should attract local occupancy conditions

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	36
<b>Name</b>	Alan Gillham
<b>Organisation</b>	Scarborough Borough Council (Officer Comments)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	37
<b>Name</b>	J and G Fox
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	More parking facility at a better price. The green card disc to have more than 2 hours if the price increases yearly (£32 to £37.50 this 2004/5)



<b>Respondent Number</b>	38
<b>Name</b>	Lucy Mitchell
<b>Organisation</b>	Yorkshire Forward
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Paragraph 1.3 – The Government recently published the ‘Securing the Future - Delivering UK Sustainable Development Strategy’, in March 2005. This strategy provides a new purpose and integrated vision, building on the 1999 strategy to integrate these aims and evolve sustainable development policy with a stronger international and societal dimension. The new strategy also sets out 5 principles for sustainable development, with a more explicit focus on environmental limits, and 4 new shared priorities for the UK. The four shared priorities are sustainable consumption and production, climate change, natural resource protection and sustainable communities. As such we feel that the LDF core strategy should reflect the new strategy in setting out the government’s vision for achieving sustainable development.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	39
<b>Name</b>	Mrs H M Swales
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Improve the National Park's Toilet facilities for Goathland (built in the 1960's) need upgrading. Cut down numbers of coaches at one time. The tea rooms cannot serve them with refreshments. Too small to cope with more than one coach load at one sitting.</p> <p>The National Park Authority decide their own Authority's planning applications - some other Authority should adjudicate. This is an anomaly.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	40
<b>Name</b>	S H Rowe
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	My question is do the National Park take notice of local opinion. There are numerous complaints of restricting vehicular access along a public highway to cliff cottages, Port Mulgrave. I have advised them to take their case to the ombudsman at York

<b>Respondent Number</b>	41
<b>Name</b>	Josie Downs
<b>Organisation</b>	York and Ryedale Friends of the Earth
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	42
<b>Name</b>	Mrs Margaret Farey (Parish Councillor)
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Retaining young people - especially skills such as trades people, nurses, carers etc Keep villages alive with a good mix of residents Price of fuel in rural areas is a financial burden to workers (especially with lack of local employment)

<b>Respondent Number</b>	43
<b>Name</b>	W N Baldwin
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Should address the footpath/ bridleway network to see if it could be rationalised and reworked to give better circular walks and rides. This would open up new areas of the park and take pressure off the honey pot areas!!

<b>Respondent Number</b>	44
<b>Name</b>	Colin Furness
<b>Organisation</b>	Oldstead Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	The National Park is a living being and will have to adapt to modern changes as I have in 66 years of life.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	45
<b>Name</b>	M Muir
<b>Organisation</b>	Blackface Sheep Breeders Association
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	46
<b>Name</b>	Dr D M Leyshon
<b>Organisation</b>	Ramblers Association (Cleveland Group)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	47
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Newholm cum Dunsley Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	48
<b>Name</b>	F Wilkinson
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>The bright blue signs with incorrect spelling over the fylingdales moor road should be more in keeping with other traditional signs.</p> <p>NYM Park Authority staff and rangers have become more approachable and helpful. The northern area forums have helped with this. It should be made clear that most of the land within the National Park is privately owned. Because trespassers often turn round and say we are allowed anywhere it is a National Park. Farmers don't mind if a family asks to park a car at the entrance to a field that doesn't have stock or crops in to have a picnic, but not everyone does this</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	49
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Sneaton Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	50
<b>Name</b>	D D Barber
<b>Organisation</b>	Cropton and Cawthorne Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>There must be much greater emphasis on integration of development / employment and transport to avoid car use</p> <p>Flood control outside the park should start within the park with more broad leave tree planting and the re-introduction of flood meadows. Farmers could be paid a supplement on top of the SFP for this form of land use. It would help to fasten an increase in wading birds. Climate change issues and land use issues will have to be considered if the nature of the park is to be maintained</p>



<b>Respondent Number</b>	51
<b>Name</b>	Graham Banks
<b>Organisation</b>	Hambleton District Council (Officers Comments)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>We should be seeking compatible policies in Hambleton District within and outside the National Park. Our latest thinking on future strategic spatial planning policy in Hambleton outside the National Park is set out in our Preferred Options Core Strategy, which is now out to consultation until 31st August and we look forward to receiving your views on this.</p> <p>Our proposed strategy is to concentrate most development in the service centres (market towns), followed by a limited number of service villages, designated on the basis of their range of services, facilities and public transport, where small scale housing allocations will be made. Both these categories of settlements are expected to provide a service function for their hinterlands, which extend in some cases into the National Park. Beneath this level we have identified a broad distribution of secondary villages where very limited infill, conversion and redevelopment would be acceptable within the development limits. Elsewhere, including smaller settlements, it is not intended to continue with development limits (including all those adjacent to the National Park - i.e. Thimbleby, Nether Silton, Kewick, Cowesby and Kilburn) and development will only be approved in exceptional circumstances. Small totally affordable housing sites are likely to be allocated in the service villages and exception sites allowed on the edge of secondary villages where there is a proven need. Rural building conversions are expected to provide for employment/tourism uses or affordable housing. The proposed threshold for affordable housing within settlements throughout the plan area is 2 dwellings or 0.1 ha and targets vary between 30-50%.</p> <p>Some residents of the plan area close to the National Park clearly look to the National Park villages in Hambleton (e.g. Carlton, Swainby, Osmotherley and Coxwold) for their services and it is important that their continued service role is maintained and improved in the future to best serve the local community. This could mean allowing for some small scale market housing as well as affordable housing in these settlements and seeking to protect existing shops, pubs and other facilities and improving public transport connections. Provision should also continue to be made for affordable housing in other smaller settlements in the National Park where there is a proven local need, as evidenced by our recent Housing Needs Study and you may wish to consider affordable housing in rural conversion schemes too.</p> <p>It would be helpful if our approaches were similar in dealing with the small villages so as not to allow new housing unless there are exceptional circumstances and with rural building conversions so as not to favour general market housing reuse. We should also be working together on our LDFs to deliver the 9 affordable dwellings identified in the Housing Needs Study as a minimum requirement per year to 2010 in the Hambleton part of the National Park. We are therefore likely to assume approx 10 dwellings per annum over the next 5 years will be completed in that part of the National Park within Hambleton in our District figure, although this is higher than the recent average of 5 per annum as identified in the footnote on page 22 of our Core Strategy document (based on your annual Survey of Residential Development).</p>



<b>Respondent Number</b>	52
<b>Name</b>	A R Thomson
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	53
<b>Name</b>	A J Rutter
<b>Organisation</b>	Hutton le Hole Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Encourage local people to improve the environment themselves by tree planting perhaps together with NPA etc

<b>Respondent Number</b>	54
<b>Name</b>	P W Fisher
<b>Organisation</b>	East Yorkshire Farm Services
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>We appreciate the need for a planning system and consider the present administration of the system at your offices is, by and large, very efficient. We do, however, consider that there should be a much heavier local emphasis as far as both the decision making and also the committee representation is concerned.</p> <p>For a number of years we have felt that local issues within the National Park boundary are not fully appreciated and understood by both councillors from outside the region and development control staff who are from an urban background. We appreciate that there has to be a cross sectional representation on the committee but surely it makes sense for the people who live within the Park area to be the majority body on the planning/development control committee.</p> <p>The staffing side will no doubt be more difficult as there will only be a limited number of opportunities within the planning department. We do, however, feel that familiarity with country life is one of the essential qualifications when working in such an environment.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	55
<b>Name</b>	Jean Oxley
<b>Organisation</b>	East Ayton Parish Council (Views from individual)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	56
<b>Name</b>	Robert Belli
<b>Organisation</b>	The Church of England Church Commissioners
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Church buildings often play a significant role in the rural landscape. They are a part of the social history of an area and often contribute greatly to peoples 'sense of place'. We are not aware of any church buildings in the North York Moors National Park area that face redundancy in the near future. However, if any such buildings do arise within the plan period then it will be necessary for the Local development Framework to be flexible so that new uses can be ensured for these buildings thereby preserving their contribution to the character of the area. A building that is in use it is far more likely to survive, so please bear this in mind during the formulation of the Local Development Framework

<b>Respondent Number</b>	57
<b>Name</b>	Mr Swales
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	Local views regarding planning policy. E.G Parish and village views on new development on Grant Close Osmotherley were not listened to. House passed for planning.

<b>Respondent Number</b>	58
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Newton and Rawcliffe and Stape Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	59
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Fylingdales Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Keep to criteria already agreed. No need to address further issues (but obviously needs reasonable review intervals).</p> <p>We wish to emphasise our serious concerns about consistency. We understand about taking cases on merits - but these 'merits' must conform to guidelines adopted - even where on occasions the guidelines might be open to question. On occasions we have felt that your own guidelines and policy have been interpreted in totally conflicting ways. (Chapel St RHB, demolition of outhouse to provide patio, tennis courts South House Farm)</p> <p>Concern that a refusal decision quotes guidelines. However if granted against local advice or guidelines quoted as 'guidelines not set in stone'.</p> <p>We understand and fully appreciate the problems of maintaining balance of needs in the National Park.</p> <p>This questionnaire is one of 30+ which this Parish Council has done its best to answer in detail and to fully consider - we would therefore hope that opinions given such consideration will actually be taken into account, and that this is not a mere exercise to obtain information in a selective manner to support decisions already taken.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	60
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Egton Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	61
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Lockton Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

<b>Respondent Number</b>	62
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Rosedale Parish Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	The Plan might address light pollution from the excessive floodlighting of buildings in the Park for commercial reasons for example, some pubs.



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	63
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	North Yorkshire East Federation of WIS
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	64
<b>Name</b>	Colin Huby
<b>Organisation</b>	Scarborough and Ryedale Rural Housing Enabler
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	65
<b>Name</b>	Colin Mather
<b>Organisation</b>	Member of Upper Esk Community Energy Group
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	66
<b>Name</b>	Ms Laurie Norris
<b>Organisation</b>	National Farmers' Union
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	67
<b>Name</b>	Jill Thompson
<b>Organisation</b>	Ryedale District Council (Officers Comments)
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	68
<b>Name</b>	Amy Trevelyan
<b>Organisation</b>	Councillor for the Dales Ward
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	69
<b>Name</b>	Mr and Mrs Richards
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	70
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Organisation</b>	Royal Mail Property Holdings Plc
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	

---

<b>Respondent Number</b>	71
<b>Name</b>	Brian Oram
<b>Organisation</b>	Association of Countryside Voluntary Wardens
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	



<b>Respondent Number</b>	72
<b>Name</b>	Trisha Brady
<b>Organisation</b>	Tees Valley Rural Community Council
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>More opportunities could be taken by the National Park to involve volunteers from the wider population to help with a range of tasks from policy and planning to administration and other more practical activities. Wider marketing of the National Park's resources could provide access for more general interest groups, from orienteering to archaeology, especially those based in urban areas.</p>

<b>Respondent Number</b>	73
<b>Name</b>	Stewart Hurst
<b>Organisation</b>	NYCC - Highways
<b>21. What other issues do you consider the Local Development Framework should address?</b>	<p>Enhancement of the rail services. Identify a policy in relation to critical, safety related, highway improvements. Cross boundary issues, especially in relation to Whitby.</p> <p>Paragraph - 3.10 Location of Housing  An issue to consider is whether new housing should only be permitted in the more 'sustainable' locations within the National Park for example, in villages that have a school, shop, pub and access to public transport.  R. Yes, very much so.</p>

